Environmental Review of Fish Introduction

Descr	iption of water body	and action:	
	Name:	Lower Hassler Reservoir	County: Fergus
	Legal Description:	T17N R18E S11	
	to allow reasonable p Maximum depth is a marginal to over-win	bublic access. The pond is about bout 12 feet. This pond has be ter fish. It will be monitored for	ately owned pond. Owner has agreed at 1 surface acre and 10 acre-feet. een recently reconstructed and may be or survival to determine if stocking is at 2 miles west of Hilger, Montana.
Name	The pond is located of	re the pond is located: on an ephemeral tributary to Wa is located about 6 miles downst	arm Spring Creek (Judith River). tream.
Fish s	pecies proposed for i Rainbow trout or larg		
Is this	s species legally prese	nt in the drainage? Yes	
Specie Risks:	The Judith River con		rm Spring Creek or its tributaries.
	•		
Poten	_	e genetic structure of existing Minor Major	g fish populations:
	Warm Spring Creek.	· ·	sh could go over the spill-way toward fish would have negative impacts on pring Creek.
		existing fish populations due Major	to competition and/or predation:
		eat small fish in the pond if the eral drainages downstream of the	ey are present. Fish probably cannot he pond.
_	cts to other forms of a _Minor Majo	_	d by this introduction:None
	It is unlikely that the	re will be additional impacts.	

Potential for the proposed new species to reproduce in this location:___None ____ Major

It is unlikely stocked rainbow trout would reproduce in this pond or in downstream ephemeral drainages. Largemouth bass could potentially reproduce in the pond.

If necessary would it be feasible to remove this species after it has been stocked?

Yes the pond is small enough to chemically treat and will likely have winterkill problems during drought.

Would this introduction result in impacts that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable?

No.

Other considerations: This pond is small and is only about 11.5 feet maximum depth. Summer or winter kill is possible.

Describe reasonable and prudent alternatives to this action, if any (including no action).

No action. There would not be a new opportunity for public fishing in Fergus County.

Describe and evaluate mitigation, stipulations or other control measures enforceable by the agency, if any.

Fish stocking will be a beneficial action.

List all agencies and individuals that may be affected by the proposed introduction.

Area anglers

List all agencies and individuals who have been notified of this proposed introduction.

None

Based on this evaluation, is an EIS required YES/NO? If no explain why the EA is the appropriate level of analysis for the proposed actions. No. Action expected to be minor.

EA prepared by: Anne Tews, Fisheries Biologist

Date: April 9, 2007 **Comments accepted until:** May 14, 2007

Comments should be sent to: Anne Tews, MFWP, P.O. Box 938, Lewistown, MT 59457:

Email address: antews@mt.gov